

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION N | 0. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------|------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--|
| 10/686,496 | _ | 10/14/2003 | William G. Tatton | IFM-001CPCN5 | IFM-001CPCN5 3544 | |
| 959 | 7590 | 11/15/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | FIELD, LLP | FAY, ZOHREH A | | | |
| | ST OFFICE I, MA 021 | - | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | • | | | 1618 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 11/15/2006 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Applic | ation No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | 10/686 | | TATTON ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | n A. Fay | 1618 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply | nunication appears on | the cover sneet with the | e correspondence add | ress | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM TH - Extensions of time may be available under the proving after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this or if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximumal failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(| E MAILING DATE OF sions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no communication. Improvement of the statutory period will apply arreply will, by statute, cause the oths after the mailing date of this | THIS COMMUNICATION O event, however, may a reply be not will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for examplication to become ABANDO | ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this co NED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | • | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) |) filed on 21 August 20 | 006. | | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . | 2b)⊠ This action i | | | | | | | |
| ·— | | | | | | | | |
| • | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-17</u> is/are pendi | ng in the application | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) | | consideration. | • | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | • | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-17</u> is/are reject | ed. | , | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to | | | | • | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to re | | n requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | • | | | | | |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to be | v the Examiner. | | , | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/s | | r b) objected to by th | e Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any o | | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) inclu | | | • | R 1.121(d). | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected | d to by the Examiner. | Note the attached Offi | ce Action or form PT | O-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a cla | | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | (a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| | a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | |
| application from the Intern | • | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| | · | · | · | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | 4) Interview Summa | | _ | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/ | | Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa | | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | , | 6) Other: | • | | | | | |

Art Unit: 1618

Claims 1 and 3-17 are presented for examination.

The remarks filed on August 21, 2006 have been received and entered.

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1 and 3-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific asserted utility or a well established utility.

The phrase "rescuing damaged nerve cells" is considered to be non-specific.

Claims 1 and 3-17 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific asserted

utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1 and 3-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The factors to be considered whether a disclosure meets the enablement requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, have been described in In re Wands, 8 USPQ2d 1400 (Fed. Cir.1988). Among these factors are:

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/686,496

Art Unit: 1618

1) The nature of the invention:

The claims are drawn to a method of rescuing damaged nerve cells in a patient using a deprenyl compound.

2) The state of the prior art:

The prior art does not recognize that all neurodegenerative neuromuscular disorders are the same in nature and can be treated in the same manner. According to Lance, Current Medical Diagnosis and Treatment, 43rd edition, pages 50-52 and 971-974 and pages the treatment of Parkinson and Alzheimer is done differently.

3) The relative skill of those in the art:

The relative skill of those in the art is high.

4) The predictability or unpredictability of the art:

The unpredictability of pharmaceutical and chemical art is high.

5) The breath of the claims:

The claims are very broad and encompass a composition for treating all disorders associated with damaged nerve cells.

6) The amount of direction or guidance provided:

Applicant's specification provides no guidance as how the treatment of disorders associated with damaged nerve cells is accomplished. Applicant's specification does not set forth any examples to demonstrate that the claimed compounds are capable of treating any disorders associated with damaged nerve cells.

7) The presence or absence of working examples;

Art Unit: 1618

The examples in applicant's specification are drawn to the protective effect of deprenyl on rescuing nerve cells in vitro. However, no correlation has been established between such effect and treating neurodegenerative, neuromuscular disorders.

8) The quantity of experimentation necessary;

Since activity of compounds for pharmaceutical use must be determined from case to case by painstaking experimental study, one of ordinary skill in the art would be burdened with undue experimentation to determine all neurodegenerative and neuromuscular disorders, which can be, treated with the claimed deprenyl compounds.

Claims 1 and 3-17 are rejected under non-statutory obviousness double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-21 of the U.S. Patent 5,884,003. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they overlap. The claims of the instant application are drawn to certain deprenyl compounds for rescuing damaged nerve cells. The claims of the U.S. Patent are drawn to certain deprenyl compounds for rescuing damaged nerve cells. The claims of the U.S. Patent are within the scope of the claims of instant application.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, and 3-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tatton et al. (U.S. patent 5,844,003). Tatton et al. teach the use of the claimed deprenyl

Art Unit: 1618

n → 1 1 = 1 . 1 C 1 C

compounds for rescuing damaged nerve cell in a patient. See column 8, lines 15-67, column 9, lines 1-67 and claims 1-21. The above reference makes clear that the claimed method of use is old and well known.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zohreh A. Fay whose telephone number is (571) 272-0573. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hartley can be reached on (571) 272-0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 1618

ZOŁTEN FAY PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200

GROUP 1200